

## CUNY Academic Commons - Bug #1829

### 'No Image' checkbox on Featured Content widget is not working

2012-04-15 11:52 PM - Matt Gold

<b>Status:</b>	Rejected	<b>Start date:</b>	2012-04-15
<b>Priority name:</b>	High	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	Dominic Giglio	<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category name:</b>	WordPress (misc)	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>			
<b>Description</b>			
Just tried to feature Brian's latest <a href="#">Round Up post</a> but the "no image" checkbox didn't have an effect -- a huge image showed up in the widget, displacing content on the page.			
So, I'm wondering about a few things:			
-- Is there a specific problem with the "no image" checkbox, something where it was working previously but isn't now? Maybe it has something to do with <a href="#">bug 1685</a> ?			
-- Do we have a central ticket where featured content widget issues are centralized? I'd really like us to make some fixes in the near term, if possible, since I have so many problems featuring content on the site and it's just so visible on the homepage . . . . I know we are going to go through a redesign process, but I think that if it wouldn't take up too much time, some work on this would really be warranted. Thoughts?			
<b>Related issues:</b>			
Related to CUNY Academic Commons - Feature #1871: CAC Featured Content Rewrite		<b>Resolved</b>	<b>2012-04-30</b>

#### History

##### #1 - 2012-04-16 09:17 AM - Boone Gorges

- Subject changed from *Featured Content Issues* to *'No Image' checkbox on Featured Content widget is not working*
- Assignee changed from *Boone Gorges* to *Dominic Giglio*
- Priority name changed from *Normal* to *High*

Do we have a central ticket where featured content widget issues are centralized?

No, but [#1453](#) has a list of some items that you want fixed.

Dom, can I ask you to move this item to the top of your list of priorities? If you think it would be helpful to talk over the issues, or if you need a more detailed description of the problems than what's listed here and in [#1453](#), please let me know and we can talk about it.

##### #2 - 2012-04-16 12:41 PM - Dominic Giglio

I have no problem moving this to the top of my list. I'll let you know if I have any specific questions.

##### #3 - 2012-04-16 12:43 PM - Boone Gorges

Thanks, Dom.

##### #4 - 2012-04-16 01:22 PM - Matt Gold

Thanks, Dom -- I appreciate it.

##### #5 - 2012-04-29 01:51 PM - Dominic Giglio

Boone,

In our subcommittee meeting you basically said we have two choices for this plugin, spend time debugging and patching, or use that time to rewrite the plugin. I was going to go with the former at first, but after spending a little more time in the code, I now believe that the latter would be the correct way to go. This plugin isn't an internal portion of the CAC site, it's a plugin that stands on its own and therefore deserves far more attention than just some patching to "make it work." I think this plugin has tremendous potential to be beneficial to any BuddyPress/MultiSite install, but not without a complete rewrite.

I've already got a tremendous amount of the rewrite done. I started coding on Friday when I got home and haven't been able to stop. But, before I fill you in on all the gory details, I am curious about Matt's question above: Should I create a kind of "meta ticket" where you and I (and anyone else interested) can centralize the discussion about all the issues with this plugin?

Let me know what you think.

**#6 - 2012-04-30 10:04 AM - Boone Gorges**

Hi Dom. OK, that sounds good. You don't need to open a meta ticket for my sake, but if you think it'll help you to have a single ticket that consolidates all the issues, be my guest.

I'll be interested to see what you've come up with.

**#7 - 2012-07-02 12:07 PM - Boone Gorges**

- Status changed from Assigned to Rejected

- Target version deleted (1.4)

I'm closing this ticket, with the expectation that it will work under the rewrite described in [#1871](#).

**#8 - 2012-07-02 04:14 PM - Dominic Giglio**

That's why I created the "meta ticket" Matt described ([#1871](#)), so that these related tickets ([#1559](#), [#1453](#), [#1829](#)) could easily be closed out as I made my way through the re-write.

**#9 - 2012-07-03 02:53 PM - Boone Gorges**

That's why I created the "meta ticket" Matt described ([#1871](#)), so that these related tickets ([#1559](#), [#1453](#), [#1829](#)) could easily be closed out as I made my way through the re-write.

Ah. Sorry, I didn't mean to step on your system. Feel free to reopen this ticket if it helps you to keep organized.

**#10 - 2012-07-04 06:02 PM - Dominic Giglio**

No worries, what I meant by my comment was that this ticket *should* be closed if the issue described gets fixed in [#1871](#). As far as my local testing goes, I think the no image checkbox functionality works fine. I will only reopen this ticket if testing on cdev uncovers a bug in that part of the code. Otherwise, I'm fine with this ticket being closed.